

Gottlieb: If it was leaked, it was wrong —
because of the law says that it should
not be revealed.

just as with my own tax records...

I might read something not what is brought
out that isn't there...

Mrs. Table: "It seems so stupid if I don't have any
opinions... but I really don't... I have never
had an opinion about the VN War."

Mrs. Campbell: Never heard of PP — takes
no papers, except Thruway, Shopping News.
Does not know they relate to VN

"I have heard the word 'Pentagon' on the
radio — but not in print."

I think I have heard the words 'Pentagon
Papers' on the radio, but that's all I heard...
— that they were printed on in the papers...
— you look (B) like I'm not telling you what you..."

Interview jurors as to why they answered as they did;
range of opinions; what info would have changed answers.

	LAW BROKEN	NOT BROKEN
FAVORABLE	(1) X conflict	(2) Fair
NEUTRAL	Fair	Fair
UNFAVORABLE	(3) Fair	(4) X conflict

Travers: 'Had' to describe bias, because
he thought it highly likely that he would
be in situation (1), where his "bias" would
conflict with the evidence-and-law
likewise, Koldstein

1 Travers thought he would surely be in
(3), so no need to mention bias.

Gottlieb knew he would consider our act
wrong, even if evidence-and-law indicated
we had not broken the law; so he indicated his
bias.

[Hyp: juror indicates bias only if he thinks it is
likely to come into play (i.e. conflict with evidence.)]
Probably all or nearly all jurors believe we have
broken a law; and that we are charged with
giving PP to newspapers — so only the sympathetic
ones admit bias to the judge.

Mrs. Campbell: On VN: I really couldn't say,
^{without}
~~without~~ really studying that problem in
the press and cons..."

Ever had an opinion? "I guess not."

Finally: When we first went over there...
I thought they shouldn't go. And then,
when they were there, I thought they should
hang back — finish & hang back...
do what they had gone over there for...
help the VNese... But I thought we should win,
then, once we were there [LBJ counted on
this — "Lone Star" in an Expe War]...
but then, when they didn't... I don't
know what to think...

[She has had a whole sequence of opinions — having
come to believe we should win, she now doesn't
know what to believe — esp.

PUBLIC FACES

as she now contradicts the
Pres...

Peterson:

Dr. Ellsberg and Anthony Russo.

TS does, on VN War — can't recall contents.

Doubted that they were as important as stories said...

... In 10 years it should have been possible
to come to some conclusion... I don't think we
should just quit, just get out...

We've made a commitment — we should honor
that commitment as far as we can

We all tend to agree with someone who agrees
with us

Hamilton

Never heard of case or us; recently heard
from P.P. - no idea what they are.

Cl.
Watches 2

Cigs; RD;

On VX: no opinion. just, "If we're not there,
they could be here. ... My county might be
wrong."

[B: What if a person doesn't share your opinion,
but thinks, there are times when county is right & times
when it is wrong... [?]

Does you have any opinion on whether
Panner...

I'm thinking of our religion, the priest used
to say that if the people are told too much, they will
get the wrong opinion - We weren't allowed to read
if somebody wants to fight to get them to tell us
something - that's their opinion.

The Bible. Maybe the New finds the same way.

Her son enlisted in Army - she didn't like Army - she
got lawyer, who got him out of Army and into USMC.

Bentley

Read about it when it first occurred.

Papers stolen...

Once heard we introduced + greeted on
late-night TV — ^(Cavett) turned off set and went
to bed. (It was a quarter-to-thunder and I was
tired).

Saw an ed count at end... Howard K. Smith
... "Time will tell..." just brief résumé
no opinion, just we'll see what will
happen.

Wasn't accusing, or giving opinion.

Q. Any relation between defendants + PP?

"I gather there must be some relation,
or there wouldn't be a trial..."

We were right to be there at first....

VN: I still don't think we were wrong, but that
it has gone on too long — whatever we want over there
to do, we should have done it by now... I gather, to
help the people there... Now, it should be ended as quickly as possible

Saunders: (black).

No opinion; because... each side
~~think~~ they're right — a little like court —
until proven guilty.

If we think we're doing what's right,
the other side probably thinks it's right, too.

To me, the gov may have withheld info because
... I really don't know, myself, why our
soldiers are fighting.

Torture

Mazman: join Div

Headlines. Doesn't know what PP are.

to labeling "was in trouble."

I thought the attorney was Mr. Russo. (Boudin!)

Opinion on VN: Not really...

I wish it was all over with.

I think everyone wishes it was all over with...
wishes

either ending it, or
either get out, or go over there

If we started the war, we should have a war...

We're just

Killing people slowly

[Not partial to endless
torture]

If we were going to have a war

If we're going to escalate the war, let's escalate
the war and end it — whatever we're
going to do we should do it in a hurry.

I'm not a learned person and I don't have a
basis for arguing ... I wish I knew what you
wanted me to say... you're putting me on the spot

It's the focus of everyone's attention...

We should go in and finish it, or else...
(what?) get out.

It's like watching someone commit suicide, and
not being able to do anything...

Is it to go on for another 50 years?

Right or wrong, they're still the Gaunt...

["Liberals" accept Inquisition; "coercive
diplomacy," ("coercive surgery — persuasive
surgery") ~~forces~~ ^{persuade}: Quick win ^{(slow steady win (or loss),}
^{costly} slow win; ^{costly} slow loss.]

They can be fooled into thinking escalation means Quick Win.
The slow loss not divulged too much info about war,
no ... & wouldn't know if they haven't divulged
enough.

"Public" assumes that when Pres "goes in strongly
killing people" it must be with purpose and prospect
of ending the war ^(+winning) — so they support that (like WPB
support of early RT!)

Schwartz

Headlines; TV

Papers were removed from Pentagon files
Never read a newspaper article about it.

Didn't pay attention to it — more than to news of
any robbery...

[Electorate (which voted for N) is poorly-
informed (even if better than elsewhere), easily
fooled (by Pres) & manipulated;

Not so, glib (I hope); if Pres. had the
relative advantage of Pres in campaign — time, attention, authority
Who made statements? ... no chance.

Russ and David...

(Newman; CX thinks excessive...)

PP — They were Pentagon papers, belonged to the
Gov, were removed without Gov permission...

Def. worked for the PAVO Corp and received the
from the gov.

BAD

Shy (How)

On VN: ~~He~~₁ had a post with SEA nations..

Shy had an agreement to help, and gave it...

I didn't take it on myself...

I left it up to people who were better qualified than I --- the elected reps

(Is that just Exec, or legis, both branches)

I like to think so... both...

Have heard opinion. That How was holding back info from public... I don't know the facts...

PP

Depts removed the and disposal of the against the Davis works.

[USG assertions of a treaty obligation is a crucial Davis lie - not adequately challenged by Congress

(no treaty; no obligation; no request; no right!)

Hilts

(She & husband signed the death penalty ref.)

I'm a little embarrassed that I don't know much about it ... I really am...

↓

This had come out in the open

This is very

I'm certainly aware that there's a book out — I can't think when I was, or why

Papers were obtained from the Pentagon — important papers, of course ... I'd would assume seen picture of Ellsworth ... Ellsberg

Stopped reading about it when 1st jury dismissed, because knew I might be called

[i.e., wanted to be accepted?]

I would assume papers were important, because they were from the Pentagon ... and they were arrested...

... I pride myself on being knowledgeable (so someone)

Jones:

News on TV: we had "stole - & don't remember if they said sold - gov papers."

For some reason this case never seemed interesting to me...

Don't know what PP are...

Probably have heard comments on case on KFWB but none have stuck in my mind.

On VN: I would imagine we have a reason for being there - & don't fully understand the reasons.

I really don't know why we're in VN - I assume there is a reason. Like a lot of other wise people, I would like to see the war ended... ended ^{with} honorably, whatever that takes.

When you have a war, you can't tell ~~any~~ ^{any} everything you're doing or you'd be defeating your own purpose. You must have had a good reason for withholding info...

Schwartz: (Don living outside the home? (living outside my home. " B: that's living thorough.

Kelly: born NY

B: In tempted to ask, When did you come to the United States.

27- Oldest daughter married, almost a Ph.D. in psych.
Husband likewise.

! Raise sorghum in a "community" "called the Farm"

Husband: B.S. in nursing.

24 son: degree in English Cal State

with 20 people on commune in Oregon.

daughter: RN, husband teacher

daughter: senior in HS.

Farm: daughter studied "pol. sci. of FE",
then switched to Russian; social welfare
worker, L.A.

(See "Breathe a Sign for Relief" —
his daughter Paula selected as social

Mammy:

3 yrs parttime in bookshop
- 5 yrs doctor

Teach astrology.

20 units college, Rio Hands
Last technician

4 Jan:

Panel

Confident — not uptight, nervous As
Feminine; look around, at me, not just
at judge.

[Most on juries before].

No defense background; military background
is WWII, Korea — enlisted.

[So much for venue; youth